

City of Bay Village

Council Minutes, Committee Session
Conference Room
Paul Koomar, President of Council, Presiding

August 3, 2015
7:30 p.m.

Present: Clark, Henderson, Koomar, Lee, Lieske, Tadych, Mayor Sutherland

Excused: Mr. Vincent

Also Present: Law Director Ebert, Director of Public Service/Safety Thomas, Police Chief Spaetzel, Fire Chief Lyons, Operations Manager Landers, Bob Greytak, CT Consultants

AUDIENCE

The following audience members signed in this evening: Marty Mace, Conda Boyd, Lydia DeGeorge, Suzanne Graham, Russell Thompson, Denny and Tara Wendell, Chandra Neely, Jeff Gallatin.

ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE-Lee

Safety Intervention Grant Acceptance

Fire Chief Lyons advised that this is a grant offered by the Ohio Bureau of Workers' Compensation. The Bureau has money available for municipalities if municipalities can identify and demonstrate an actual risk to employees and it can be demonstrated that there is a way to mitigate that risk. The Stryker Power Load System is a companion system to the Stryker Power Cots that the City purchased approximately six years ago. The Power Load System mitigates a portion of the lift that uses the lower back. The Ohio Bureau of Workers' Compensation is offering to fund \$40,000 of the \$54,000 system.

Mr. Lee asked if the \$13,000 to \$14,000 needed from City funds is included in the Capital Budget for 2015. Chief Lyons stated that they did not have that budgeted, since they looked at the program opportunity after the budget was completed. Mayor Sutherland stated that the funds are in the Equipment Replacement Fund. Mr. Clark noted that the Finance Committee has supported acceptance of the grant and expenditure of the additional funds needed. A resolution will be introduced this evening to approve proceeding with the acceptance of this grant.

Parking on Westwood Road

Mr. Lee stated that the parking on Westwood Road issue was discussed in the Environment, Safety and Community Services Committee meeting held at 6:00 p.m. this evening. Mr. Lee addressed Mr. Ebert, stating that there is a question about the ability to do something on a temporary basis. Mr. Ebert stated that the Mayor can post signs for thirty days prior to permanent signage. This will insure that the signs will be in place during the Bay Soccer

Tournament. An ordinance has been prepared for permanent signs prohibiting parking, stopping or standing of vehicles.

PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, STREETS/SEWERS/DRAINAGE COMMITTEE-Tadych

Review of Bids – Green Parking Lot Project for Bay Village City Hall

Mr. Tadych stated that he will introduce an ordinance this evening for approval of a contract for the Green Parking Lot Project at the Bay Village City Hall. The parking lot will run from the sidewalk to the tennis court area. The project has been discussed by the Finance Committee.

Public Safety/Service Director Scott Thomas stated that they opened bids last week for this project. Bob Greytak, of CT Consultants is present this evening to address any questions. The bid process resulted in only one company bidding, Licursi (LCI) Construction Co. The State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency has awarded a \$120,000 grant for the project with the requirement of certain specifics regarding water drainage and permeable pavers. A bid of \$220,000 was the estimated amount; the bid actually came in at \$184,407.50. The grant amount of \$120,000 will be applied toward the project, with the City's liability for the remainder, or \$64,407.50. The Finance Committee discussed the background of LCI Construction, who recently completed the City of Lakewood parking lot.

Bob Greytak, CT Consultants, stated that LCI has done two projects that CT Consultants designed: the Willoughby Hills Recreation Center, the largest municipal pavement project in the northeast part of the state. Mr. Greytak viewed the project this afternoon and noted that their work was very good. LCI also worked as a sub-contractor on two projects in Lakewood: the municipal parking lot behind Geiger's Sporting Goods and Melt, the restaurant. They performed this project quite satisfactorily.

Mr. Koomar asked Mr. Greytak to address how the water drains through the system. Will this project contribute to the moisture underneath the Dover Center Road tennis courts, or will this water drain elsewhere?

Mr. Greytak stated that the pavers actually have a very deep base and absorb a great deal of infiltration. They will build a reservoir underneath the permeable pavers composed of stone that acts as a retention basin for the water that seeps through the pavers. There is an outlet into the storm sewer system, which is constructed higher with a couple of feet of storage. He noted that permeable pavers are designed to take care of minor storms. The pavers would not function as efficiently to dissipate all the water that we had during the type of storms that we had in June of 2015.

Mr. Tadych noted that this project was to start sometime after the Fourth of July. The City rejected the two bids that came in because there were specifications that were not correct. Mr. Thomas stated that there was actually one specification that needed to be changed. Mr. Greytak stated that there were three plan holders for the project. He noted that contractors are so busy right now it is hard to find contractors to bid this time of the year. Mr. Tadych asked what the difference was in the first set of specifications and the second set of specifications. Mr. Greytak

Committee Meeting of Council
August 3, 2015

stated that it was a unit that was listed as square yards rather than square feet. The quantity would not have matched. The unit price would have been nine times higher than the project estimate because of the difference in square feet and square yards.

Mr. Tadych stated that the company that bid is the company that did the Dover Center Road tennis courts. He asked Mr. Greytak if the specifications on the tennis courts were correct, except that there was a water issue underneath the tennis courts. Mr. Greytak stated that is his understanding, even though he was not involved in that project.

Mayor Sutherland stated that there were a number of issues. There is not only a slight grading issue but there was also a soft base issue. There was a decision that was made within the Service Department to go ahead and do the best that we could, as opposed to coming back to Council and asking for another \$100,000, making the tennis courts a \$500,000 project.

Mr. Tadych stated that the specifications then were correct, but when the contractor looked at the base they thought the base would not work properly. Mayor Sutherland stated that this is correct, but when we talk about the tennis courts, one of the reasons when we talk about the Green Parking Lot Project is it will help alleviate some of the overland flooding and water than moves under the courts. The Mayor stated that they could have completely torn out the tennis court base, digging down additionally, put a new base in, all at a cost of an additional \$100,000. The Green Parking Lot Project is going to help.

Mr. Tadych asked if LCI Construction continued with the construction of the tennis courts with a soft base because they were told to continue. The Mayor stated that this is correct. Mr. Tadych stated that at the end of the project there were issues about the final results and we asked them to correct them. Mayor Sutherland stated that the City tried to work with them to correct the issues. However, they felt that they had done things according to the plan, which they had, and they did what they were asked to do, so, we did agree to a fair settlement.

Mr. Tadych stated that at the Finance Committee meeting held Monday, July 29, this came up and he asked for the notice to prove that it did happen that way. "I never saw that and it has been 176 hours and I still haven't had a reply of any kind. I said at that meeting that I would vote against this because of the attitude of the company that was looking at doing our parking lot."

Mr. Koomar that the bid early on that went out for the tennis courts was a United States Tennis Association standard. Looking back, the Service Director went with a professional bid. Our other courts have a little more pitch, because they were following the specifications. Mid-stream they wanted to add more to it. I don't recall the number of \$100,000 but there were going to look at additional options for drainage for additional cost. Normally that would come back to Council, but at the time the contractor was told to move forward.

Mr. Tadych stated that the end result was that the tennis courts were puddling more than they should.

Mrs. Lieske asked the deadline to spend the money from the grant. Mr. Thomas stated that the deadline is two years from the award of the grant. Mayor Sutherland stated that she thought it

Committee Meeting of Council
August 3, 2015

would be July 1; Mr. Greytak stated he thought the cycle was September to September. Mrs. Lieske asked if we would have until September of 2016.

Mr. Lee asked if the new parking lot would occupy the same footprint as the existing parking lot. Mr. Thomas stated it would occupy the same footprint. The number of parking spots will be smaller due to the expansion of a bio-retention area for water direction. A total of 7 parking spots will be eliminated.

Mr. Lee asked if the pavers will hold up through snowplowing. Mr. Greytak stated that they have held up fine in Willoughby for four years, where there is plenty of snow.

Mayor Sutherland stated that contours will be added that will be natural traffic slowing through the parking lot as well.

Mr. Lee asked Mr. Greytak if the pavers will last longer than the asphalt. Mr. Greytak responded affirmatively.

Mr. Clark asked Mr. Greytak to quantify how the parking lot project will help the problem that exists at the tennis courts now. Mayor Sutherland stated that there was a corrective action that was taken. The courts were smoothed out. The tennis players have told Mayor Sutherland that they have to squeegee all tennis courts after rain.

Mr. Lee asked, other than excavating the area, is there anything else that could be done for the courts.

Mr. Tadych expressed that he wished we had one additional bidder. Mr. Henderson stated that the bids were opened July 24, and a Finance Committee meeting was held on July 29, three or four business days later. Mr. Henderson noted that the original bid opening date was changed from July 17 to July 24, 2015. Mr. Greytak stated that it was advertised three weeks before July 17, 2015. Mr. Tadych stated that it was incorrectly advertised for the quantity. Mr. Greytak stated it was advertised in the newspaper as a public notice that the project is up for bid and the interested contractors can purchase documents. It is advertised twice, a week apart, so that the contractors are aware of the project, and after another week the bids are opened. Between the second advertisement and the bid opening, CT Consultants noticed an error in the bid form. They delayed bid opening another week beyond July 17 to July 24, 2015. From the first time it was in the newspaper until the bids were opened, it was four weeks' time.

Mr. Tadych stated that LCI signed their quote to the City on July 16, 2015. Mr. Greytak stated that they prepared their bid in time for the July 17 bid opening. The owner of the company was on vacation so they prepared the bid anticipating the bid opening would be July 17, 2015. When an addendum is issued it has to be issued 72 hours before bid opening. LCI had that information to adjust his bid even before the first bid opening.

Mr. Henderson stated that the Mayor said that they worked toward a fair settlement. If we actually put out the bid specifications and the contractor said these aren't going to work and one of our employees instructed them to go do it anyway, what grounds did the City have to get this

Committee Meeting of Council
August 3, 2015

settlement? Mr. Ebert stated that the problem was putting on another coating to push the water someplace else. The cost would have been \$11,000 for recoating. The concern with the City was that the coating would not adhere to the surface; there would be cracking. The contractor was willing to work with the City as far as free labor to try to do the coating but the solution didn't really meet what the City felt was sufficient enough to accomplish what they wanted to do, so they were better off left as they were.

Mr. Henderson asked if it wouldn't have been reasonable for the company to reject that instruction from the City to proceed based on the fact that there would not be good water runoff.

Mr. Tadych asked what the City was told about how to correct the situation. Mr. Ebert stated that more premium base had to be put in the tennis courts. When the tennis courts were excavated it was saturated and they had to put in more premium fill. They put premium fill in; there needed to be more authorized by a change order. That was the only solution at the time when they excavated and found the wet condition.

Mr. Tadych noted that the City said no. Mr. Ebert stated that is correct.

Mr. Tadych asked if there was a rush to get it done because it was so late in the year. Mayor Sutherland stated that there was a small window between tennis programs and the high school programs.

Mr. Ebert stated that this was actually discovered in the fall. The courts sat all the way through winter until the spring when they were paved. The idea was that ground settlement would take place and the problem in the stability would actually correct itself before doing the final repaving. That is why the City waited until spring before putting the surface down.

Mr. Tadych asked Mr. Koomar if he heard there was a problem when he was in those meetings before they actually began to put paving down; that they weren't going to start until spring. Mr. Koomar stated that he thinks after it was all said and done, the original thing they had been told together was that when the final coating went on it would be smooth. After the fact, it became apparent that more base would have been needed.

Mr. Tadych stated that he thinks it was wrong for LCI to not insist that it be changed if they thought something was incorrect.

Mrs. Lieske stated that she heard someone say that the bidding was done when contractors are so busy, and that might be the reason they only got one bid. If we don't have to have the funds spent until September, 2016, what about rebidding in the winter when the weather turns and have it completed by September of 2016 to see if we get one more bid? Mrs. Lieske expressed that she doesn't feel comfortable with just one bid.

Mr. Henderson added that he shares the concern of Mrs. Lieske that only the one bid was received and it was from the same company that did the project with the tennis courts.

Committee Meeting of Council
August 3, 2015

Mr. Greytak stated that if a contractor insists on a change in the plans they are potentially in breach of their contract. They are to continue working to the plans. If they insist on a change they are then taking on the liability as a designer of that project.

Mr. Greytak stated further the City runs the risk of additional cost if the project is re-bid. Mayor Sutherland stated that she will check to see if the deadline is July 1, 2016, which would align with the state's fiscal year of July 1 to June 30. In all other instances where we have dealt with state funding, we had to spend down the dollars within the biennial budget.

Mr. Tadych stated that he is disappointed that he couldn't see the email that he was told was sent to the City. Mayor Sutherland stated that they will find it, but, she will say that there was an awful lot of discussion in many meetings. A lot of it was verbal. Mr. Tadych stated that he was told it was an email and he waited to receive a copy of that email.

Mr. Clark stated that he would be highly surprised if the cost of this project came in lower next year. That is his concern.

Mr. Koomar stated that as a City we have always done the right thing to make sure a project is completed well and lasts for a long period of time. This did not occur, but he likes the fact that Mr. Greytak has gone out and done a lot of due diligence on the type of project we are dealing with. When you have a great bid, you hate to walk away from it.

Mayor Sutherland stated that it may not have been a perfect project, but it was a good project. She noted that she can see how good of a project it is every day she looks out her window and those tennis courts are absolutely jamming. It still was a darn good project; the people love it. There are a multitude of kids and adults playing tennis out there.

Mr. Koomar acknowledged Mr. Tadych's concern of the lateness of the bidding of the parking lot project. We had the grant last year and we might have missed the opportunity for multiple bidders because it did not come to Council until late for a motion to advertise for bids.

Mrs. Lieske asked the cost to rebid. She was informed that advertising costs would be \$1,500. Mr. Greytak stated that there were three plan holders. They personally contacted ten contractors. The one bidder that did submit a bid did not know they were the only bidder. They assumed they would be competing against two other bidders. The bid is a good bid; the project includes paving, landscaping and it is difficult to get one contractor in to do all of the various elements of the work. They have to subcontract, so what they are bidding on is a very small portion with a narrow profit. A lot of contractors are not interested in bidding on that type of project.

Mr. Tadych noted that LCI Construction is owned by a garden center, and asked if they are supplying the trees for the project. Mr. Greytak stated that they do not know because they have not yet awarded the bid to them.

Mr. Lee asked if the issue under the tennis courts with the soft surface will be found as well when they excavate for the parking lot. Will the contractor come back with a request to add premium fill? Is the nature of the pervious pavers such that the base is going to be deeper and it

isn't going to matter if it is soft? Mr. Lee noted his concern that we believe we are going to have savings of \$64,000 and as soon as they start digging they would say they found the same condition they found on the tennis courts. Mr. Greytak stated that there is so much base under the pavers it is an apples/oranges comparison. There is not much base on the tennis courts because it is loading for pedestrian traffic.

Mayor Sutherland stated that the City has had a lot of projects in various stages of engineering, called the pipe line. This project was considered to be not as critical as some of the road and sewer projects. From a priority standpoint, this project was something we could wait on and focus on other projects. There are also timing variables, like getting Wolf Road in front of the high school done.

Mr. Koomar noted that this bidding process was done by CT Consultants. Mr. Thomas stated that the engineering had to be done because the project couldn't happen during the snow season. The project had to be done after everything had thawed, so they could get out and do everything from the sanitary and storm lines to the actual conditions of the parking lot. That takes time.

Mr. Tadych confirmed that the grant is for \$120,000 and the City will get the \$120,000 regardless of the cost of the project. The Mayor responded affirmatively.

Mrs. Lieske stated that she would like to know the date the funds have to be expended by before we have address it at the Special Meeting of Council this evening. Mr. Koomar stated that he would prefer to proceed this evening and prevent the risk of spending additional dollars by rebidding. The Council members agreed.

Discussion regarding Codified Ordinance 913.08 and 913.11 – Downspouts

Mr. Tadych stated that draft ordinances have been prepared by the Law Director for an amendment to Codified Ordinance Section 913.11 and 913.08. The ordinance for 913.11 is much the same wording, except in the first paragraph, Corrective Order and Expenses Therefor, states that devices may be permanent, and in the second Corrective Order and Expenses Therefor it doesn't define them as permanent devices that can be used in the discharge of water.

Mr. Lee stated that the first paragraph in the present language of the amendment to Codified Ordinance 913.11 states that "Such provision for splash boxes or other devices may be permanent if such discharge does not cause hardship onto adjoining properties, city sidewalks or current property." Are we taking that out of 913.11 because we are putting it in Section 913.08? It seems that the two paragraphs were switched. Mr. Ebert stated that it used to be that it was required that downspouts be connected to the storm sewer. We are now switching because of the amount of rainfall that is going into the storm sewers. But, we are more concerned about the splash box water going on the neighbors' property. We also added the necessity for all work to be inspected by the Director of Public Service.

Mayor Sutherland stated that the big picture is that if residents are looking for any type of direction and they read what we currently have on the books it is difficult to understand and it

also doesn't take into account rain gardens and rain barrels. We want to make it easy for people to understand they can do it and the City will confirm that their work is acceptable.

Mr. Koomar stated that he would also like to address the maximum size of a rain barrel and the color of the rain barrel. If we could give some guidance to people about what is acceptable it would go a long way in getting residents to be proactive.

Mr. Tadych asked the definition and description of a splash box. It is a plastic or concrete block with a small hose to drain the water. The Mayor noted that there are a variety of devices. It is recommended that rain barrels be installed at least five feet away from the home. Mr. Tadych stated that the problem with this is that someone can put an addition onto their home with no footer drains under the addition and it can be run out into the yard.

Another draft of the ordinances will be prepared and submitted for consideration by Council in September.

FINANCE & CLAIMS COMMITTEE – Clark

Change Order to TRAX Construction Company contract

Mr. Clark stated that each of these change orders were discussed in the Finance Committee meeting held Wednesday, July 29. The TRAX Construction Company contract for Walmar Drive will be closed with the completion of this change order. The project came in higher than anticipated due to soil conditions.

Change Order to Chagrin Valley Paving Company contract

The Chagrin Valley Paving Company change order includes documentation defining the additions to the contract. Mr. Lee confirmed with Mr. Thomas that the change order for Chagrin Valley Paving Company does not include the additional paving on Wolf Road in front of the Bay High School area.

Amended Appropriation Ordinance

Mr. Clark reviewed the Amended Appropriation Ordinance prepared by Finance Director Mahoney. The items were covered in detail at the Finance Committee meeting on July 29. A memorandum from Mrs. Mahoney indicates that the first three items, General Fund, Parks and Recreation, and Play-in-Bay, either involve reclassification of funds without an increase.

Mr. Henderson related that last November the City Council and the Cahoon Memorial Park Trustees approved a project that included installing exercise equipment along the Walking Trail in Cahoon Memorial Park, pending funding from private sources. The private funding process is now completed and the funding is now available. There are a total of seven sponsors including four businesses: O'Neill Healthcare, Highland Consulting, Perfect Fit Health Club, and Arthur's Shoe Tree. There were two community groups: the Bay Village Foundation and the Bay Village

Kiwanis. There was one anonymous donor. The Bay Village Foundation has the funds and their treasurer will transmit the funds to the City. The project will begin this fall.

Mr. Clark noted that the Play-in-Bay donation is part of a much larger fund-raising project. Total fund raising is expected to be in excess of \$70,000.

Funds are being appropriated for the cot lifters for the Fire Department in the amount of \$13,700 for the City's share.

There is an opportunity to repair the choppiest segment of Wolf Road in front of the high school, in the amount of 1,350 linear feet. The amended appropriation ordinance includes funds for that project. Mr. Thomas stated that it is a number of years down the road for the County to provide funding for the repaving of Wolf Road. We have to take our own responsibility for our share of the jointly shared road. Mr. Koomar stated that Wolf Road is a county road. Mr. Thomas stated that it still travels within our City and we have to maintain it to make sure the motoring public is safe and not travelling roadways that are falling apart. He noted that we did get \$20,000 back from the County for the portion of Wolf Road that was repaved this past year. There is a small possibility that we may get money back from doing the project in front of the high school. Mr. Thomas stated that this area will continue to deteriorate if it is not taken care of. Chagrin Valley Paving is now finishing the project at the Bay High School parking lot area, and when we look at the overall cost and the savings on mobilization cost it is estimated to be about \$70,000 for the area in front of the high school. It is hoped to get ten years life out of this resurfacing. Mr. Thomas distributed a map of the area to be repaved.

Mr. Clark noted that Mr. Tadych brought forth good points about the trade-off of road improvements versus cleaning out sanitary sewers. Mr. Clark noted that we try to balance the two issues based on the resources we have. Mr. Koomar noted the necessity of addressing the road improvements this fall in preparing the budget for 2016. He noted his worry is that if we keep improving a county road, the county may not step forward to their responsibility for the road.

Mr. Tadych stated he has a problem with the fact that it is the same company that did the roadway into the school. Mr. Thomas noted that the City approached the company to perform the work. Mr. Tadych repeated that he would rather see the money go toward sewers. A one inch and a half overlay is not what we are accustomed to seeing on our road resurfacing, and he would like to see it done properly so it will last.

Mr. Koomar asked if a letter has been submitted requesting state attention to resurfacing Lake Road. Mayor Sutherland stated that they have submitted a letter and they are meeting with the state, hopefully next week.

Mr. Clark reviewed the additional items on the amended appropriation ordinance concerning cleaning the sewers and crack sealing roads. Mr. Koomar asked Mr. Scott if he will be going out for bids. Mr. Thomas stated that they will ask for quotations; it is under \$50,000.

Committee Meeting of Council
August 3, 2015

Mr. Koomar noted that last year Mr. Thomas talked about a robust crack sealing program. Mr. Thomas stated that this is part of that program because we want to make sure we are addressing the roads we are putting in. Mr. Clark stated it was not included in the budget, but we got the promise from Mr. Thomas that he was going to put together a five year plan on crack sealing going forward, just like we are looking at a plan for sewers so we can have a starting point for all of these infrastructure needs.

Mr. Koomar asked if Lake Road that was done last year and has cracks is part of this program. Mr. Thomas stated that they are actually putting the Bay Village crews on the Lake Road crack sealing. It is not all of Lake Road, but the areas of the road that needs to be addressed will be addressed.

Mr. Koomar stated that he personally would be in favor of expending additional funds to get Lake Road completely done this year. The last three years, no crack sealing has been done and it is worse. I am all for crack sealing on Lake Road, but I don't think you can leave the first half of it go knowing the state is not going to come back to 2023. Mayor Sutherland stated that is why they are meeting with them next week.

Mr. Thomas stated that a rough estimate to do Lake Road from Columbia to the city limits, in crack sealing, is \$50,000. Mr. Koomar stated that he is talking from Cahoon Creek; that is the whole section. Mr. Thomas stated that he would anticipate a \$25,000 addition to that because no one is going to be crack sealing areas that are falling apart. That amount of money would fix the worst part of Lake Road for just crack sealing. We will crack seal the areas that we can, but we also know there is some joint repair that our crews will have to be done also.

Mr. Koomar continued, stating that the newer section of Lake Road is from Cahoon Creek east to just past Columbia. The oldest section is from Columbia to the Rocky River line. It would seem that you would put your resources into the newer section to maintain it as long as possible and get that done, because, you are saying that the state won't address the worst section until 2023. How long will it be until the newer section is addressed? I don't know that we can wait another winter. I would be open to investing and maintaining that road as much as possible. Mayor Sutherland suggested having a conversation after talking with the state. Bay's experience in working with the folks at the Ohio Department of Transportation has been a very good partnership. The Mayor stated she will be happy to update Council after the meeting with the Ohio Department of Transportation Director for Bay's district. Their thought of 2023 for addressing Lake Road, and looking at the reality after these two horrendous winters; they recognize that the pavement is just crumbling, not only in Bay Village but a lot of other places. Mr. Koomar stated that the middle section cannot be forgotten. Maybe we can get them to do some robust repairs and crack sealing. If we go through another winter that is going to deteriorate very quickly and residents are going to say do something, just like in front of the high school.

Mr. Clark asked Safety/Service Director Thomas to discuss the appropriation for the Wolf Road sewer clean out. Mr. Thomas stated that they are looking at cleaning the main line that runs from Walmar Road to Porter Creek, to the Longbeach Lift Station. Mr. Thomas displayed a map of the area, noting that the green lines on Wolf Road to the high school represents the area to be

cleaned. The cost for this will be \$31,593.00. These are large diameter, high-flow sewers that the City equipment cannot clean. Mr. Thomas noted that he has also provided a map displayed in the small conference room that concentrates the areas of flooding in the City. The Saturday after the first flooding, the sewer crews found that these lines were charged (full) a long time after the high water mount. CT Consultants inspected and felt that some of these lines had some blockage and need to be addressed.

Secondly, the pink line on the map is from Huntington Woods all the way down to the lift station. This is another section of the City that needs to be cleaned. The cost has not yet been determined, but Mr. Scott will come back to Council with that information.

Mr. Clark noted that these sewers are under grade and the City equipment does not have the capability for cleaning. The Lake Road Interceptor was cleaned three years ago at a cost of \$800,000. This cleaning will take us up to that interceptor.

Mr. Henderson recalled a conversation with Mr. Thomas at the Finance Committee meeting when he asked Mr. Thomas if this effort will help alleviate the flooding at the Westerly School area that was the center of residents' complaints. Mr. Thomas stated that the Westerly School area flooding was a backyard flooding and road flooding. That would be a clear water, storm water issue. Eighty percent of the flooding in the City was reported to be a clear water issue, although it came up from the sanitary system. Mr. Thomas stated that we know we have many combined sanitary and storm sewers. Mr. Greytak added that there is a lot of clear water getting into the sanitary system.

Mayor Sutherland added that we also don't know if the blockage was there ahead of time or because of all this infiltration of the quick sand situations on the west end of the City. They are finding that there is sand in these pipes.

Mr. Henderson asked if we are also going to spend money on cleaning out the storm sewers. If not, why not? Mr. Thomas stated that the City crews are spending a lot of money daily cleaning these sewers. The City cleans 90 to 95% of the sanitary and storm lines. They are walking creeks and finding the issues they need to deal with as a City. As the five year program is put together that area will be addressed. The issues with water coming into people's basements needs to be addressed first.

Mr. Tadych noted that he asked also at the Finance Committee meeting when this was discussed about flow meters being looked at both before and after the cleaning, noting that flow meters were used previously and were very beneficial. Mr. Tadych stated further that he would like to have this brought before his Public Improvements, Streets, Sewers and Drainage Committee for further review and discussion.

Mr. Thomas stated that a forced main to the side of Porter Creek had broken open. Quotations for repair were received as high as \$70,000. They have settled on Nerone Construction, who has worked on the main before. Their quotation came in at \$28,000 with a final cost of \$17,400. This was an emergency situation that had to be addressed.

Committee Meeting of Council
August 3, 2015

Mrs. Lieske stated that she has a question about the \$70,000 for paving in front of the high school. It indicates that if approved a change order would need to be executed and covered by a transfer. Will Council be taking care of that change order? Mr. Thomas stated that it is included in Agenda Item No. 8.

Mr. Clark stated he will close in asking Mr. Thomas to come back with a comprehensive plan as to how we can address our storm and sanitary sewer lines.

PLANNING, ZONING & PUBLIC GROUNDS & BUILDINGS COMMITTEE-Lieske

Mrs. Lieske had no further report this evening.

RECREATION & PARK IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE-Henderson

Mr. Henderson had no further report this evening.

SERVICES, UTILITIES & EQUIPMENT COMMITTEE-Vincent

There was no report this evening.

AUDIENCE

There were no comments from the audience at this time.

The meeting adjourned at 7:47 p.m.

Paul Koomar, President of Council

Joan Kemper, Clerk of Council